

Not each sequential effect algebra is sharply dominating*

Shen Jun^{1,2}, Wu Junde^{1†}

¹*Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China*

²*Department of Mathematics, Anhui Normal University, Wuhu 241003, P. R. China*

Abstract

Let E be an effect algebra and E_s be the set of all sharp elements of E . E is said to be sharply dominating if for each $a \in E$ there exists a smallest element $\hat{a} \in E_s$ such that $a \leq \hat{a}$. In 2002, Professors Gudder and Greechie proved that each σ -sequential effect algebra is sharply dominating. In 2005, Professor Gudder presented 25 open problems in International Journal of Theoretical Physics, Vol. 44, 2199-2205, the 3th problem asked: Is each sequential effect algebra sharply dominating? Now, we construct an example to answer the problem negatively.

Key Words. Sequential effect algebra, sharply dominating, sharp element.

Effect algebra is an important model for studying the unsharp quantum logic (see [1]). In 2001, in order to study quantum measurement theory, Professor Gudder began to consider the sequential product of two measurements A and B (see [2]). In 2002, moreover, Professors Gudder and Greechie introduced the abstract sequential effect algebra structure and studied its some important properties. In particular, they proved that each σ -sequential effect algebra is sharply dominating ([3, Theorem

*This project is supported by Natural Science Found of China (10771191 and 10471124).

†E-mail: wjd@zju.edu.cn

6.3]). In 2005, Professor Gudder presented 25 open problems in [4] to motive the study of sequential effect algebra theory, the 3th problem asked: Is each sequential effect algebra sharply dominating? Now, we construct an example to answer the problem negatively.

First, we need the following basic definitions and results for effect algebras and sequential effect algebras.

An *effect algebra* is a system $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$, where 0 and 1 are distinct elements of E and $\oplus$ is a partial binary operation on E satisfying that [1]:

(EA1) If $a \oplus b$ is defined, then $b \oplus a$ is defined and $b \oplus a = a \oplus b$.

(EA2) If $a \oplus (b \oplus c)$ is defined, then $(a \oplus b) \oplus c$ is defined and

$$(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c).$$

(EA3) For each $a \in E$, there exists a unique element $b \in E$ such that $a \oplus b = 1$.

(EA4) If $a \oplus 1$ is defined, then $a = 0$.

In an effect algebra $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$, if $a \oplus b$ is defined, we write $a \perp b$. For each $a \in (E, 0, 1, \oplus)$, it follows from (EA3) that there exists a unique element $b \in E$ such that $a \oplus b = 1$, we denote b by a' . Let $a, b \in (E, 0, 1, \oplus)$, if there exists a $c \in E$ such that $a \perp c$ and $a \oplus c = b$, then we say that $a \leq b$. It follows from [1] that $\leq$ is a partial order of $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$ and satisfies that for each $a \in E$, $0 \leq a \leq 1$, $a \perp b$ if and only if $a \leq b'$.

Let $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ be an effect algebra and $a \in E$. If $a \wedge a' = 0$, then a is said to be a *sharp element* of E . The set $E_s = \{x \in E \mid x \wedge x' = 0\}$ is called the set of all sharp elements of E (see [5-6]). The effect algebra $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ is called *sharply dominating* if for each $a \in E$ there exists a smallest sharp element $\hat{a} \in E_s$ such that $a \leq \hat{a}$. That is, if $b \in E_s$ satisfies $a \leq b$, then $\hat{a} \leq b$. An important example of sharply dominating effect algebras is the standard Hilbert space effect algebra $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$ of positive linear operators on a complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with norm less than 1 ([5-6]). The sharply dominating effect algebras have many nice properties, for example, recently, Riecanova and Wu showed that sharply dominating

Archimedean atomic lattice effect algebras can be characterized by the property called basic decomposition of elements, etc (see [5]).

A *sequential effect algebra* is an effect algebra $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$ and another binary operation $\circ$ defined on $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$ satisfying [3]:

(SEA1) The map $b \mapsto a \circ b$ is additive for each $a \in E$, that is, if $b \perp c$, then $a \circ b \perp a \circ c$ and $a \circ (b \oplus c) = a \circ b \oplus a \circ c$.

(SEA2) $1 \circ a = a$ for each $a \in E$.

(SEA3) If $a \circ b = 0$, then $a \circ b = b \circ a$.

(SEA4) If $a \circ b = b \circ a$, then $a \circ b' = b' \circ a$ and $a \circ (b \circ c) = (a \circ b) \circ c$ for each $c \in E$.

(SEA5) If $c \circ a = a \circ c$ and $c \circ b = b \circ c$, then $c \circ (a \circ b) = (a \circ b) \circ c$ and $c \circ (a \oplus b) = (a \oplus b) \circ c$ whenever $a \perp b$.

Let $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ be a sequential effect algebra. Then the operation $\circ$ is said to be a sequential product on $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$. If $a, b \in (E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ and $a \circ b = b \circ a$, then a and b is said to be *sequentially independent* and denoted by $a|b$ (see [2-3]). The sequential effect algebra is an important and interesting mathematical model for studying the quantum measurement theory [2-4, 7-8].

Let $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ be a sequential effect algebra. If $a \in E$, then it follows from ([3, Lemma 3.2]) that a is a sharp element of $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ iff $a \circ a = a$.

A σ -*effect algebra* is an effect algebra $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$ such that $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \cdots$ implies that $\bigwedge a_i$ exists in E . A σ -*sequential effect algebra* $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ is a sequential effect algebra and is a σ -*effect algebra* satisfying [3]:

- (1). If $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \cdots$, then $b \circ (\bigwedge a_i) = \bigwedge (b \circ a_i)$ for each $b \in E$;
- (2). If $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \cdots$ and $b|a_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots$, then $b|(\bigwedge a_i)$.

It is known that $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$ is a σ -sequential effect algebra (see [3]).

In 2002, Professors Gudder and Greechie proved the following important conclusion ([3, Theorem 6.3]): Every σ -sequential effect algebra is sharply dominating.

In 2005, by the motivation of the above result, Professor Gudder asked ([4, Problem 3]): Is each sequential effect algebra sharply dominating?

Now, we construct a sequential effect algebra which is not sharply dominating, thus, we answer the above problem negatively.

Let $E_0 = \{0, 1, a_n, b_n, c_{\wedge, n}, d_{\wedge, n} \mid n \in \mathbf{N}^+, \wedge \in \Lambda\}$, where $\mathbf{N}^+$ be the positive integer set and Λ be the set of all finite nonempty subsets of $\mathbf{N}^+$. First, we define a partial binary operation $\oplus$ on E_0 as following (when we write $x \oplus y = z$, we always mean that $x \oplus y = z = y \oplus x$):

For each $x \in E_0$, $0 \oplus x = x$,

$$a_n \oplus a_m = a_{n+m},$$

For $n < m$, $a_n \oplus b_m = b_{m-n}$, $a_n \oplus b_n = 1$,

$$a_n \oplus c_{\wedge, m} = c_{\wedge, n+m},$$

For $n < m$, $a_n \oplus d_{\wedge, m} = d_{\wedge, m-n}$,

For $\wedge \cap I = \emptyset$, $c_{\wedge, n} \oplus c_{I, m} = c_{\wedge \cup I, m+n-1}$,

For $\wedge \subset I$ and $n \leq m$, $c_{\wedge, n} \oplus d_{I, m} = d_{I \setminus \wedge, m-n+1}$ (when $\wedge \neq I$) or b_{m-n} (when $\wedge = I$ and $n < m$) or 1 (when $\wedge = I$ and $n = m$).

No other $\oplus$ operation is defined.

Next, we define a binary operation $\circ$ on E_0 as following (when we write $x \circ y = z$, we always mean that $x \circ y = z = y \circ x$):

For each $x \in E_0$, $0 \circ x = 0$, $1 \circ x = x$,

$$a_n \circ a_m = 0, a_n \circ b_m = a_n, b_n \circ b_m = b_{m+n}, a_n \circ c_{\wedge, m} = 0, c_{\wedge, n} \circ b_m = c_{\wedge, n},$$

$$a_n \circ d_{\wedge, m} = a_n, b_n \circ d_{\wedge, m} = d_{\wedge, m+n}, d_{\wedge, n} \circ d_{I, m} = d_{\wedge \cup I, n+m-1},$$

$$c_{\wedge, n} \circ c_{I, m} = c_{\wedge \cap I, 1} \text{ (when } \wedge \cap I \neq \emptyset \text{) or } 0 \text{ (when } \wedge \cap I = \emptyset \text{),}$$

$$c_{\wedge, n} \circ d_{I, m} = c_{\wedge \setminus I, n} \text{ (when } \wedge \setminus I \neq \emptyset \text{) or } a_{n-1} \text{ (when } \wedge \setminus I = \emptyset \text{ and } n > 1 \text{) or } 0 \text{ (when } \wedge \setminus I = \emptyset \text{ and } n = 1 \text{).}$$

Proposition 1. $(E_0, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ is a sequential effect algebra.

Proof. First we verify that $(E_0, 0, 1, \oplus)$ is an effect algebra.

(EA1) and (EA4) are trivial.

We verify (EA2), we omit the trivial cases about 0,1:

$$a_n \oplus (a_m \oplus a_k) = (a_n \oplus a_m) \oplus a_k = a_{k+m+n}.$$

$$a_n \oplus (a_m \oplus c_{\wedge, k}) = (a_n \oplus a_m) \oplus c_{\wedge, k} = c_{\wedge, k+m+n}.$$

Each $a_n \oplus (a_m \oplus b_k)$ or $(a_n \oplus a_m) \oplus b_k$ is defined iff $n + m \leq k$, $a_n \oplus (a_m \oplus b_k) = (a_n \oplus a_m) \oplus b_k = b_{k-m-n}$ (when $m + n < k$) or 1 (when $m + n = k$).

Each $a_n \oplus (a_m \oplus d_{\wedge,k})$ or $(a_n \oplus a_m) \oplus d_{\wedge,k}$ is defined iff $n + m < k$, $a_n \oplus (a_m \oplus d_{\wedge,k}) = (a_n \oplus a_m) \oplus d_{\wedge,k} = d_{\wedge,k-m-n}$.

Each $a_n \oplus (c_{\wedge,m} \oplus d_{I,k})$ or $(a_n \oplus c_{\wedge,m}) \oplus d_{I,k}$ or $(a_n \oplus d_{I,k}) \oplus c_{\wedge,m}$ is defined iff $\wedge \subset I$ and $n + m \leq k$, $a_n \oplus (c_{\wedge,m} \oplus d_{I,k}) = (a_n \oplus c_{\wedge,m}) \oplus d_{I,k} = (a_n \oplus d_{I,k}) \oplus c_{\wedge,m} = d_{I \setminus \wedge, k-m-n+1}$ (when $\wedge \neq I$) or b_{k-m-n} (when $\wedge = I$ and $m + n < k$) or 1 (when $\wedge = I$ and $m + n = k$).

Each $a_n \oplus (c_{\wedge,m} \oplus c_{I,k})$ or $(a_n \oplus c_{\wedge,m}) \oplus c_{I,k}$ is defined iff $\wedge \cap I = \emptyset$, $a_n \oplus (c_{\wedge,m} \oplus c_{I,k}) = (a_n \oplus c_{\wedge,m}) \oplus c_{I,k} = c_{\wedge \cup I, n+m+k-1}$.

Each $c_{\wedge,n} \oplus (c_{I,m} \oplus c_{Y,k})$ or $(c_{\wedge,n} \oplus c_{Y,k}) \oplus c_{I,m}$ is defined iff $\wedge \cap I$ and $\wedge \cap Y$ and $Y \cap I$ are all $\emptyset$, $c_{\wedge,n} \oplus (c_{I,m} \oplus c_{Y,k}) = (c_{\wedge,n} \oplus c_{Y,k}) \oplus c_{I,m} = c_{\wedge \cup I \cup Y, n+m+k-2}$.

Each $c_{\wedge,n} \oplus (c_{I,m} \oplus d_{Y,k})$ or $(c_{\wedge,n} \oplus c_{I,m}) \oplus d_{Y,k}$ is defined iff $\wedge \cap I = \emptyset$ and $\wedge \cup I \subset Y$ and $n + m \leq k + 1$, $c_{\wedge,n} \oplus (c_{I,m} \oplus d_{Y,k}) = (c_{\wedge,n} \oplus c_{I,m}) \oplus d_{Y,k} = d_{Y \setminus (\wedge \cup I), k-m-n+2}$ (when $\wedge \cup I \neq Y$) or $b_{k-n-m+1}$ (when $\wedge \cup I = Y$ and $m + n < k + 1$) or 1 (when $\wedge \cup I = Y$ and $m + n = k + 1$).

Thus, (EA2) is proved. We verify (EA3):

$$a_n \oplus b_n = 1, c_{\wedge,n} \oplus d_{\wedge,n} = 1.$$

So $(E, 0, 1, \oplus)$ is an effect algebra.

We now verify that $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ is a sequential effect algebra.

(SEA2) and (SEA3) and (SEA5) are trivial.

We verify (SEA1), we omit the trivial cases about 0,1:

$$a_n \circ (a_m \oplus a_k) = a_n \circ a_m \oplus a_n \circ a_k = 0,$$

$$b_n \circ (a_m \oplus a_k) = b_n \circ a_m \oplus b_n \circ a_k = a_{m+k},$$

$$c_{\wedge,n} \circ (a_m \oplus a_k) = c_{\wedge,n} \circ a_m \oplus c_{\wedge,n} \circ a_k = 0,$$

$$d_{\wedge,n} \circ (a_m \oplus a_k) = d_{\wedge,n} \circ a_m \oplus d_{\wedge,n} \circ a_k = a_{m+k}.$$

$$a_n \circ (a_m \oplus c_{\wedge,k}) = a_n \circ a_m \oplus a_n \circ c_{\wedge,k} = 0,$$

$$b_n \circ (a_m \oplus c_{\wedge,k}) = b_n \circ a_m \oplus b_n \circ c_{\wedge,k} = c_{\wedge, m+k},$$

$$c_{I,n} \circ (a_m \oplus c_{\wedge,k}) = c_{I,n} \circ a_m \oplus c_{I,n} \circ c_{\wedge,k} = c_{\wedge \cap I, 1} \text{ (when } \wedge \cap I \neq \emptyset \text{) or } 0 \text{ (when } \wedge \cap I = \emptyset \text{),}$$

$d_{I,n} \circ (a_m \oplus c_{\wedge,k}) = d_{I,n} \circ a_m \oplus d_{I,n} \circ c_{\wedge,k} = c_{\wedge \setminus I, m+k}$ (when $\wedge \setminus I \neq \emptyset$) or a_{m+k-1} (when $\wedge \setminus I = \emptyset$).

For $m < k$,

$$a_n \circ (a_m \oplus d_{\wedge,k}) = a_n \circ a_m \oplus a_n \circ d_{\wedge,k} = a_n,$$

$$b_n \circ (a_m \oplus d_{\wedge,k}) = b_n \circ a_m \oplus b_n \circ d_{\wedge,k} = d_{\wedge, n+k-m},$$

$c_{I,n} \circ (a_m \oplus d_{\wedge,k}) = c_{I,n} \circ a_m \oplus c_{I,n} \circ d_{\wedge,k} = c_{I \setminus \wedge, n}$ (when $I \setminus \wedge \neq \emptyset$) or a_{n-1} (when $I \setminus \wedge = \emptyset$ and $n > 1$) or 0 (when $I \setminus \wedge = \emptyset$ and $n = 1$),

$$d_{I,n} \circ (a_m \oplus d_{\wedge,k}) = d_{I,n} \circ a_m \oplus d_{I,n} \circ d_{\wedge,k} = d_{\wedge \cup I, n+k-m-1}.$$

For $m \leq k$,

$$a_n \circ (a_m \oplus b_k) = a_n \circ a_m \oplus a_n \circ b_k = a_n,$$

$$b_n \circ (a_m \oplus b_k) = b_n \circ a_m \oplus b_n \circ b_k = b_{n+k-m},$$

$$c_{\wedge, n} \circ (a_m \oplus b_k) = c_{\wedge, n} \circ a_m \oplus c_{\wedge, n} \circ b_k = c_{\wedge, n},$$

$$d_{\wedge, n} \circ (a_m \oplus b_k) = d_{\wedge, n} \circ a_m \oplus d_{\wedge, n} \circ b_k = d_{\wedge, n+k-m}.$$

For $\wedge \cap I = \emptyset$,

$$a_n \circ (c_{\wedge, m} \oplus c_{I, k}) = a_n \circ c_{\wedge, m} \oplus a_n \circ c_{I, k} = 0,$$

$$b_n \circ (c_{\wedge, m} \oplus c_{I, k}) = b_n \circ c_{\wedge, m} \oplus b_n \circ c_{I, k} = c_{\wedge \cup I, m+k-1},$$

$c_{Y, n} \circ (c_{\wedge, m} \oplus c_{I, k}) = c_{Y, n} \circ c_{\wedge, m} \oplus c_{Y, n} \circ c_{I, k} = c_{Y \cap (\wedge \cup I), 1}$ (when $Y \cap (\wedge \cup I) \neq \emptyset$) or 0 (when $Y \cap (\wedge \cup I) = \emptyset$),

$d_{Y, n} \circ (c_{\wedge, m} \oplus c_{I, k}) = d_{Y, n} \circ c_{\wedge, m} \oplus d_{Y, n} \circ c_{I, k} = c_{(\wedge \cup I) \setminus Y, m+k-1}$ (when $(\wedge \cup I) \setminus Y \neq \emptyset$) or a_{m+k-2} (when $(\wedge \cup I) \setminus Y = \emptyset$ and $m+k > 2$) or 0 (when $(\wedge \cup I) \setminus Y = \emptyset$ and $m+k = 2$).

For $\wedge \subset I$ and $m \leq k$,

$$a_n \circ (c_{\wedge, m} \oplus d_{I, k}) = a_n \circ c_{\wedge, m} \oplus a_n \circ d_{I, k} = a_n,$$

$b_n \circ (c_{\wedge, m} \oplus d_{I, k}) = b_n \circ c_{\wedge, m} \oplus b_n \circ d_{I, k} = d_{I \setminus \wedge, n+k-m+1}$ (when $\wedge \neq I$) or b_{n+k-m} (when $\wedge = I$),

$c_{Y, n} \circ (c_{\wedge, m} \oplus d_{I, k}) = c_{Y, n} \circ c_{\wedge, m} \oplus c_{Y, n} \circ d_{I, k} = c_{Y \setminus (I \setminus \wedge), n}$ (when $Y \setminus (I \setminus \wedge) \neq \emptyset$) or a_{n-1} (when $Y \setminus (I \setminus \wedge) = \emptyset$ and $n > 1$) or 0 (when $Y \setminus (I \setminus \wedge) = \emptyset$ and $n = 1$),

$$d_{Y, n} \circ (c_{\wedge, m} \oplus d_{I, k}) = d_{Y, n} \circ c_{\wedge, m} \oplus d_{Y, n} \circ d_{I, k} = d_{Y \cup (I \setminus \wedge), n+k-m}.$$

Thus, (SEA1) is proved. We verify (SEA4), we omit the trivial cases about 0,1:

$$a_n \circ (a_m \circ a_k) = (a_n \circ a_m) \circ a_k = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n \circ (a_m \circ b_k) &= b_k \circ (a_n \circ a_m) = a_m \circ (a_n \circ b_k) = 0, \\
a_n \circ (a_m \circ c_{\wedge,k}) &= c_{\wedge,k} \circ (a_n \circ a_m) = a_m \circ (a_n \circ c_{\wedge,k}) = 0, \\
a_n \circ (a_m \circ d_{\wedge,k}) &= d_{\wedge,k} \circ (a_n \circ a_m) = a_m \circ (a_n \circ d_{\wedge,k}) = 0, \\
a_n \circ (b_m \circ b_k) &= b_k \circ (a_n \circ b_m) = b_m \circ (a_n \circ b_k) = a_n, \\
a_n \circ (b_m \circ c_{\wedge,k}) &= c_{\wedge,k} \circ (a_n \circ b_m) = b_m \circ (a_n \circ c_{\wedge,k}) = 0, \\
a_n \circ (b_m \circ d_{\wedge,k}) &= d_{\wedge,k} \circ (a_n \circ b_m) = b_m \circ (a_n \circ d_{\wedge,k}) = a_n, \\
a_n \circ (c_{I,m} \circ c_{\wedge,k}) &= c_{\wedge,k} \circ (a_n \circ c_{I,m}) = c_{I,m} \circ (a_n \circ c_{\wedge,k}) = 0, \\
a_n \circ (c_{I,m} \circ d_{\wedge,k}) &= d_{\wedge,k} \circ (a_n \circ c_{I,m}) = c_{I,m} \circ (a_n \circ d_{\wedge,k}) = 0, \\
a_n \circ (d_{I,m} \circ d_{\wedge,k}) &= d_{\wedge,k} \circ (a_n \circ d_{I,m}) = d_{I,m} \circ (a_n \circ d_{\wedge,k}) = a_n, \\
b_n \circ (b_m \circ b_k) &= b_k \circ (b_n \circ b_m) = b_{m+n+k}, \\
b_n \circ (b_m \circ c_{\wedge,k}) &= c_{\wedge,k} \circ (b_n \circ b_m) = b_m \circ (b_n \circ c_{\wedge,k}) = c_{\wedge,k}, \\
b_n \circ (b_m \circ d_{\wedge,k}) &= d_{\wedge,k} \circ (b_n \circ b_m) = b_m \circ (b_n \circ d_{\wedge,k}) = d_{\wedge,n+m+k}, \\
b_n \circ (c_{I,m} \circ c_{\wedge,k}) &= c_{\wedge,k} \circ (b_n \circ c_{I,m}) = c_{I,m} \circ (b_n \circ c_{\wedge,k}) = c_{I \cap \wedge, 1} (\text{when } I \cap \wedge \neq \emptyset) \text{ or } 0 (\text{when } I \cap \wedge = \emptyset), \\
b_n \circ (c_{I,m} \circ d_{\wedge,k}) &= d_{\wedge,k} \circ (b_n \circ c_{I,m}) = c_{I,m} \circ (b_n \circ d_{\wedge,k}) = c_{I \setminus \wedge, m} (\text{when } I \setminus \wedge \neq \emptyset) \text{ or } a_{m-1} (\text{when } I \setminus \wedge = \emptyset \text{ and } m > 1) \text{ or } 0 (\text{when } I \setminus \wedge = \emptyset \text{ and } m = 1), \\
b_n \circ (d_{I,m} \circ d_{\wedge,k}) &= d_{\wedge,k} \circ (b_n \circ d_{I,m}) = d_{I,m} \circ (b_n \circ d_{\wedge,k}) = d_{I \cup \wedge, n+m+k-1}, \\
c_{Y,n} \circ (c_{I,m} \circ c_{\wedge,k}) &= c_{\wedge,k} \circ (c_{Y,n} \circ c_{I,m}) = c_{Y \cap I \cap \wedge, 1} (\text{when } Y \cap I \cap \wedge \neq \emptyset) \text{ or } 0 (\text{when } Y \cap I \cap \wedge = \emptyset), \\
c_{Y,n} \circ (c_{I,m} \circ d_{\wedge,k}) &= d_{\wedge,k} \circ (c_{Y,n} \circ c_{I,m}) = c_{I,m} \circ (c_{Y,n} \circ d_{\wedge,k}) = c_{(Y \cap I) \setminus \wedge, 1} (\text{when } (Y \cap I) \setminus \wedge \neq \emptyset) \text{ or } 0 (\text{when } (Y \cap I) \setminus \wedge = \emptyset), \\
c_{Y,n} \circ (d_{I,m} \circ d_{\wedge,k}) &= d_{\wedge,k} \circ (c_{Y,n} \circ d_{I,m}) = d_{I,m} \circ (c_{Y,n} \circ d_{\wedge,k}) = c_{Y \setminus (\wedge \cup I), n} (\text{when } Y \setminus (\wedge \cup I) \neq \emptyset) \text{ or } a_{n-1} (\text{when } Y \setminus (\wedge \cup I) = \emptyset \text{ and } n > 1) \text{ or } 0 (\text{when } Y \setminus (\wedge \cup I) = \emptyset \text{ and } n = 1), \\
d_{Y,n} \circ (d_{I,m} \circ d_{\wedge,k}) &= d_{\wedge,k} \circ (d_{Y,n} \circ d_{I,m}) = d_{\wedge \cup I \cup Y, n+m+k-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

(SEA4) is proved and so $(E_0, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ is a sequential effect algebra.

Our main result is:

Theorem 1. Not each sequential effect algebra is sharply dominating.

Proof. In fact, in the sequential effect algebra $(E_0, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$, its all sharp elements is the set $E_s = \{0, 1, c_{\wedge,1}, d_{\wedge,1} \mid \wedge \in \Lambda\}$, where Λ is the set of all finite nonempty subsets of $\mathbf{N}^+$. Note that when $\wedge_1 \subset \wedge_2$ and $\wedge_1 \neq \wedge_2$, $c_{\wedge_1,1} \oplus c_{\wedge_2 \setminus \wedge_1,1} = c_{\wedge_2,1}$,

$d_{\wedge_2,1} \oplus c_{\wedge_2 \setminus \wedge_1,1} = d_{\wedge_1,1}$, so $c_{\wedge_1,1} < c_{\wedge_2,1}$, $d_{\wedge_2,1} < d_{\wedge_1,1}$. For each finite subset $\wedge$ of $\mathbf{N}^+$, $a_1 \oplus d_{\wedge,2} = d_{\wedge,1}$, so $a_1 < d_{\wedge,1}$, and there is no comparison relation between a_1 and $c_{\wedge,1}$. So the set of elements in E_s larger than a_1 is $A = \{1, d_{\wedge,1} \mid \wedge \in \Lambda\}$, nevertheless, there is no smallest element in A . Thus, $(E_0, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ is not sharply dominating and the theorem is proved.

Moreover, we show that the sequential effect algebra $(E_0, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ in Proposition 1 is not even a σ -effect algebra. At first, we need the following theorem:

Theorem 2. Let $(E, 0, 1, \oplus, \circ)$ be a sequential effect algebra, I be an index set, $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I} \subset E_s$.

- (1) If $\bigwedge_{\alpha \in I} a_\alpha$ exists, then $\bigwedge_{\alpha \in I} a_\alpha \in E_s$;
- (2) if $\bigvee_{\alpha \in I} a_\alpha$ exists, then $\bigvee_{\alpha \in I} a_\alpha \in E_s$.

Proof. Just the same as the proof of [3] corollary 4.3.

Proposition 2. $(E_0, 0, 1, \oplus)$ is not a σ -effect algebra.

Proof. Let $\{\wedge_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}^+}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of finite nonempty subsets of $\mathbf{N}^+$. We note from the proof of Theorem 1 that

$$\{d_{\wedge_i,1} \mid i \in \mathbf{N}^+\} \subset E_s \text{ and satisfying } d_{\wedge_1,1} > d_{\wedge_2,1} > \cdots > d_{\wedge_n,1} > \cdots .$$

If $(E_0, 0, 1, \oplus)$ is a σ -effect algebra, then $\bigwedge_{i \in \mathbf{N}^+} d_{\wedge_i,1}$ will exist, and it follows from Theorem 2 that $\bigwedge_{i \in \mathbf{N}^+} d_{\wedge_i,1} \in E_s$.

By the proof of Theorem 1 again, we have $a_1 < d_{\wedge,1}$, so $a_1 \leq \bigwedge_{i \in \mathbf{N}^+} d_{\wedge_i,1}$. Note that there is no comparison relation between a_1 and $c_{\wedge,1}$ (proof of Theorem 1), so $\bigwedge_{i \in \mathbf{N}^+} d_{\wedge_i,1}$ is not $c_{\wedge,1}$. Also, it is obvious that $\bigwedge_{i \in \mathbf{N}^+} d_{\wedge_i,1}$ is not 0 or 1.

It follows from above and $E_s = \{0, 1, c_{\wedge,1}, d_{\wedge,1} \mid \wedge \in \Lambda\}$, where Λ is the set of all finite nonempty subsets of $\mathbf{N}^+$ that there exists some $\wedge_0$ such that $d_{\wedge_0,1} = \bigwedge_{i \in \mathbf{N}^+} d_{\wedge_i,1}$. But then we will have $d_{\wedge_0,1} \leq d_{\wedge_i,1}$ and $\wedge_0 \supset \wedge_i$ for all $i \in \mathbf{N}^+$, which is impossible since $\wedge_0$ is a finite subset of $\mathbf{N}^+$.

Acknowledgement

The authors wish to express their thanks to the referee for his valuable comments

and suggestions.

References

- [1]. Foulis, D J, Bennett, M K. Effect algebras and unsharp quantum logics. *Found Phys* 24 (1994), 1331-1352.
- [2]. Gudder, S, Nagy, G. Sequential quantum measurements. *J. Math. Phys.* 42(2001), 5212-5222.
- [3]. Gudder, S, Greechie, R. Sequential products on effect algebras. *Rep. Math. Phys.* 49(2002), 87-111.
- [4]. Gudder, S. Open problems for sequential effect algebras. *Inter. J. Theory. Phys.* 44 (2005), 2219-2230.
- [5]. Gudder, S. Sharply dominating effect algebras. *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.*, 15(1998), 23-30.
- [6]. Riecanova, Z, Wu Junde. States on sharply dominating effect algebras. *Science in China A: Mathematics*, 51(2008), 907-914.
- [7]. Gheondea, A, Gudder, S. Sequential product of quantum effects. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (2004), 503-512.
- [8]. Gudder, S, Latrmoire, F. Characterization of the sequential product on quantum effects. *J. Math. Phys.* 49 (2008), 052106-052112.